

“Professional Preachers”

Dub McClish

It is not unusual to hear uncomplimentary remarks made about those who are supported by others while they preach the Gospel. Often we are referred to as “professional preachers” in a derogatory way. “He’s just preaching for the money,” is sometimes said in almost the same breath. I offer the following thoughts on the subject:

1. There is a good sense in which preachers should be “professionals.” If one refers to the seriousness with which one approaches his work and the diligent application of one’s abilities to a life preaching, then it is proper to call such a “professional.” A brother once used the term in reference to a sermon I had preached. I knew that he meant it as a compliment, and I thanked him for it.
2. There is a connotation to the word *professional* that is inappropriate. It is sometimes used as a synonym for “hireling,” one who works only for money and whose heart is not in his work. A brother once criticized me to the elders where I served as “just a hired hand.” On another occasion a pallbearer “cussed me out” between the funeral home and the cemetery (in the presence of several others) because he thus judged all preachers. He said, “Just get it over with and get your money,” among other unrepeatable things. (By the way, I received no remuneration for assisting the family with the funeral.) Yes, there have been, still are, and likely always will be such preachers, but they are the exceptions. The only wealthy preachers I know got their wealth from some other source besides preaching. Those who become preachers for the alleged ease and the money of the work either compromise for greater pay or do not last very long.
3. It is right for a preacher to be supported while preaching the Gospel. So taught the Christ (Luke 10:7). So taught Paul (Rom. 15:27; 2 Cor. 11:8-9; Gal. 6:6; Phi. 1:5, 7, 13-16; 1 Tim. 5:18; et al.). Some, in their stubborn quest to overturn every long-standing practice in the church, would almost resurrect the “anti-located preacher” scheme, long ago exposed as anti-Scriptural.

What others are driving at, I suspect, in their potshots at the “professional ministry” (their term) is the elimination of the influence of a strong and sound pulpit. Granted, the pulpit has sometimes been misused by preachers. Yet, I am persuaded those men (and their dedicated families) who preach the Gospel are more responsible for advancing the kingdom to its present level of strength than any other one group. It is evident that some want to replace Gospel preaching with “dialogue,” panel discussions, syrupy and comedic “sermonettes,” or almost anything else but the Bible. It will be a sad day in the kingdom if the “anti-professionals” get the

upper hand. It is elementary that a weak, soft, or empty pulpit will produce the same sort of church.

[**Note:** I wrote this article for and it was published in *The Lighthouse*, weekly bulletin of Northpoint Church of Christ, Denton, TX, February 6, 2011, of which I was editor.]

Attribution: From *thescripturecache.com*; Dub McClish, owner and administrator.